

CUSC Alternative Form

CMP330&CMP374: Allowing new Transmission Connected parties to build Connection Assets greater than 2km in length & CMP374: Extending contestability for Transmission Connections

Alternate

Overview: This alternative proposes to extend implementation of the CMP330/CMP374 Original Solution by an additional six months following Ofgem's decision.

The original solution looks to implement the solution 6 months after Ofgem's decision which is seen as unfeasible.

Proposer: Neil Dewar, National Grid Electricity System Operator [NGESO]

Contents

- **What is the proposed alternative solution?**
 - Difference between this and the Original Proposal
- **What is the impact of this change?**
- **When will the change take place?**
- **Acronyms, key terms and reference material**

What is the proposed alternative solution?

NGESO wish to raise an alternative to the CMP330/374 Original solution, where the date of implementation is extended by six months, following Ofgem's decision. An addition six months, compared to the original, is necessary to ensure operational readiness.

The proposed solution will impact Section 14 (Charging Methodologies) of the CUSC. Sections impacted within Section 14 for this alternate solution will be the same as the original solution.

What is the difference between this and the Original Proposal?

The original solution looks to implement the solution six months after Ofgem's decision which is seen as unfeasible.

What is the impact of this change?

Proposer's Assessment against CUSC Charging Objectives	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(a) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;	<p>Positive: Delivery of more cost-effective solutions through increased competition in network development.</p> <p>While it is recognised that this solution is not the same as Early Competition Plans, NGESO are supportive of consideration of proposals to increase competition in network development.</p>
(b) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);	Neutral:
(c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes	Positive: - As above in (a)

account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;	
(d) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and	Neutral
(e) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.	Positive: This would minimise the risk to market participants and provide time to build, test and implement business processes which will be required to meet the charging methodology requirements
*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (d) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.	

When will this change take place?

Implementation date:

Twelve months after Ofgem's decision

Implementation approach:

The additional period will be used to deliver the operational readiness of the solution.

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

Acronym / key term	Meaning

Reference material:

None